

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 TOKYO 06498 171315Z

43

ACTION EA-14

INFO OCT-01 AF-10 EUR-25 NEA-14 IO-14 ISO-00 PM-07 NSC-07

SP-03 SS-20 RSC-01 L-03 DODE-00 EB-11 PRS-01 DRC-01

FEA-02 /134 W

----- 059364

R 170910Z MAY 74

FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1939

INFO AMEMBASSY ABU DHABI

AMEMBASSY ADDIS ABABA

AMEMBASSY BANGKOK

AMCONSUL BOMBAY

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY COLOMBO

AMEMBASSY DACCA

AMEMBASSY DAR ES SALAAM

AMCONSUL HONG KONG

AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

AMEMBASSY JAKARTA

AMEMBASSY JIDDA

AMEMBASSY KABUL

AMEMBASSY KATHMANDU

AMEMBASSY KUALA LUMPUR

AMEMBASSY KUWAIT

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOGADISCIO

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY NAIROBI

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY PORT LOUIS

AMEMBASSY PRETORIA

AMEMBASSY RANGOON

AMEMBASSY SANAA

AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 TOKYO 06498 171315Z

AMEMBASSY TANANARIVA

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN  
USLO PEKING  
USMISSION GENEVA  
USMISSION NATO  
USMISSION USUN  
CINCANTFLT  
CINCUSNAVEUR  
CINCPAC HONOLULU HI  
CINCUSNAVEUR  
CINCPAC HONOLULU HI  
COMIDEASTFOR

S E C R E T TOKYO 6498

E.O. 11652: GDS  
TAGS: PFOR MARR PARM JA US  
SUBJ: INDIAN OCEAN

REF: NEW DELHI 5616

SUMMARY: DESPITE JAPAN'S DEPENDENCE ON INDIAN OCEAN SEA LANES FOR TRANSPORT OF OIL, U.S. NAVAL ACTIVITY IN AREA IS NOT MAJOR ISSUE IN THIS COUNTRY, EITHER PUBLICLY, POLITICALLY, OR WITHIN BUREAUCRACY. IN FACT, GOJ IS CONTENT TO SEE ISSUE LIE DORMANT. GOJ WOULD NOT WELCOME PUBLIC DEBATE WHICH WOULD OBLIGE IT TO TAKE SIDES (EITHER FOR POSITION OF LITTORAL STATES OR THAT OF UNITED STATES), AND FEARS THAT MAJOR INTERNATIONAL CONTROVERSY OVER US ACTIVITY IN INDIAN OCEAN WOULD ALSO STIMULATE DOMESTIC POLITICAL CRITICISM OF JAPAN'S SECURITY RELATIONSHIP WITH U.S. IF, HOWEVER, INDIAN OCEAN DOES BECOME ISSUE ON WHICH JAPAN MUST TAKE PUBLIC STAND, SOME U.S. STATEMENT SUPPORTING NAVAL LIMITATIONS IN AREA AND EXPRESSING US WILLINGNESS TO ENTER BILATERAL OR MULTILATERAL TALKS FOR THAT PURPOSE WOULD MAKE IT EASIER FOR JAPAN TO SUPPORT US POSITION. END SUMMARY

1. ON THE BASIS OF RECENT DISCUSSIONS BETWEEN EMBOFFS AND OFFICIALS OF THE FONOFF, DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT, AND JAPANESE SCHOLARS INTERESTED IN SECURITY ISSUES, THE EMBASSY OFFERS THE FOLLOWING BROAD ASSESSMENT OF JAPANESE THINKING CONCERNING AMERICAN NAVAL ACTIVITY IN THE INDIAN OCEAN.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 TOKYO 06498 171315Z

2. FOR JAPAN, INDIAN OCEAN IS FAR AWAY. JAPAN IS AWARE OF FRAGILITY OF ITS OIL LIFE LINE TO PERSIAN GULF BUT DOES NOT BELIEVE SECURITY OF LINE CAN BE PHYSICALLY GUARANTEED BY US NAVAL FORCES IN AREA OR INDEED BY MILITARY MEANS IN GENERAL. RECENT OIL EMBARGO REINFORCED THIS BELIEF AS AMERICAN MILITARY POWER DID NOT PREVENT PETROLEUM SUPPLIES FROM BEING RESTRICTED. RATHER, JAPAN BELIEVES IT MUST RELY PRIMARILY

ON INTERNATIONAL NORM OF NAVIGATIONAL FREEDOM ON HIGH SEAS AND ON MAINTENANCE OF INTERNATIONAL STABILITY TO KEEP THESE SHIPPING LANES OPEN.

3. GOJ ACCEPTS THAT THERE MAY BE STRATEGIC CONSIDERATIONS WHICH DICTATE THAT US DEPLOY NAVAL FORCES IN INDIAN OCEAN AND ESTABLISH BASE AT DIEGO GARCIA. IN FACT, SOME GOJ OFFICIALS THINKS THAT AMERICAN NAVAL PRESENCE IN INDIAN OCEAN IS NATURAL AND, FROM STANDPOINT OF GLOBAL SECURITY, PROBABLY DESIRABLE.

4. THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT JAPAN IS UNCONCERNED ABOUT POSSIBLE DEVELOPMENT OF SOVIET-AMERICAN NAVAL RIVALRY IN AREA. IN JAPAN'S VIEW, NOT ONLY WOULD SUCH ARMS RACE BE POTENTIALLY DESTABILIZING, BUT IT WOULD FUEL CONTROVERSY ALREADY BEGUN OVER US NAVAL PRESENCE IN INDIAN OCEAN. IN VIEW OF FACT THAT SOME OF THESE VESSELS ARE HOMEPORTED IN JAPAN AND OTHERS ARE SUPPORTED BY US BASES HERE, SUCH CONTROVERSY WOULD PROVIDE AMMUNITION FOR OPPOSITION POLITICAL PARTIES TO ATTACK ENTIRE STRUCTURE OF SECURITY RELATIONSHIP AND BRING TO FORE ONCE AGAIN ARGUMENT THAT US BASES IN JAPAN RISK JAPANESE INVOLVEMENT IN GREAT POWER CONFRONTATION, IMPLY GOJ SUPPORT FOR AMERICA'S GLOBAL POLITICAL/MILITARY POLICY, AND PREVENT JAPAN FROM FORMULATING A FOREIGN POLICY BASED ON AN INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF ITS OWN NATIONAL INTERESTS. THIS WOULD CAUSE GOJ EMBARRASSMENT AND POLITICAL DIFFICULTY. MOREOVER, INTERNATIONAL DEBATE ON ISSUE MIGHT FORCE GOJ TO CHOOSE PUBLICLY BETWEEN US POSITION AND THAT OF INDIAN OCEAN LITTORAL STATES, THIRD WORLD IN GENERAL, AND PERHAPS CHINA. THIS IS PUBLIC CHOICE JAPAN WOULD PREFER NOT TO MAKE, PARTICULARLY AT TIME WHEN IT IS UNDERTAKING CONCERTED EFFORT TO IMPROVE RELATIONS WITH THIRD WORLD. IF ISSUE WERE PRESENTED IN SUCH WAY THAT JAPAN WAS FORCED TO TAKE A STAND FOR OR AGAINST DISARMAMENT  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 TOKYO 06498 171315Z

IN REGION, GOJ WOULD BE FACED WITH ADDITIONAL DILEMMA OF EITHER IGNORING ONE OF ITS BASIC FOREIGN POLICY PRINCIPLES (INTERNATIONAL ARMS CONTROL) OR OPPOSING POSITION OF UNITED STATES.

5. FURTHER CONCERN WITHIN SOME ELEMENTS OF GOJ IS THAT INCREASED AMERICAN NAVAL DEPLOYMENT IN INDIAN OCEAN MAY IMPLY SMALLER US PRESENCE IN PACIFIC, WHICH IS AREA OF PRIMARY SECURITY INTEREST TO JAPAN.

6. IN SUM, GOJ IS NOT OPPOSED IN PRINCIPLE TO OUR PLANS FOR DIEGO GARCIA OR TO INCREASED MEMBERS OF AMERICAN SHIPS IN INDIAN OCEAN. GOJ WOULD NOT, HOWEVER, WELCOME PUBLIC DEBATE ON ISSUE BECAUSE OF DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL POLITICAL PROBLEMS THAT IT WOULD CREATE. IN EVENT THAT JAPAN IS FORCED

TO TAKE PUBLIC STAND, WE BELIEVE THAT SOME U.S. STATEMENT  
ENDORING ARMS LIMITATIONS IN INDIAN OCEAN AND EXPRESSING  
WILLINGNESS TO ENTER BILATERAL OR MULTILATERAL NEGOTIONS  
FOR THAT PURPOSE WOULD MAKE IT EASIER FOR JAPAN TO SUPPORT  
U.S. POSITION. IN ADDITION, GOJ WOULD ALWAYS WELCOME FULL AND  
CANDID BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS ON OUR STRATEGIC THINKING ON  
THIS QUESTION.

7. EMBASSY SHARES FEELING EXPRESSED BY MANY POSTS THAT IN  
ORDER FULLY TO UNDERSTAND AND SUPPORT US POLICY EMBASSY NEEDS HAVE,  
FOR OUR OWN BACKGROUND, DETAILED EXPLANATION OF  
CONSIDERATIONS ON WHICH OUR INDIAN OCEAN NAVY POLICY IS  
BASED AND COGENT STATEMENT OF OUR INTERESTS IN REGION.  
SHOESMITH

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** FOREIGN RELATIONS, MILITARY POLICIES, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, PUBLIC ATTITUDES, NEGOTIATIONS, NAVAL SHIPS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 17 MAY 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** golinofr  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974TOKYO06498  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740122-0906  
**From:** TOKYO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740536/aaaabgft.tel  
**Line Count:** 183  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EA  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** NEW DELHI 5616  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** golinofr  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 05 APR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <05 APR 2002 by cunninfx>; APPROVED <12 JUN 2002 by golinofr>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** INDIAN OCEAN  
**TAGS:** PFOR, MARR, PARM, JA, US, XO  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005